EXHIBIT B

JAN 2 7 2006

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

GORDON, PARK-LI, Clerk

COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO IMAGED

JAN 2 7 2005

Deputy Clerk

1823

6

1

2

3

4

5

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

MICHAEL NADELMAN and AMINTA NADELMAN,

Plaintiffs,

٧.

HI TECH DESIGNS, INC., a corporation; QUICKDRAW PERMIT CONSULTING, a business entity of unknown form; LEONARD B. PAUL, individually and doing business as HI TECH DESIGNS, a sole proprietorship; DAVID FRANCIS SCHMIDT and JACK MILFORD KOCH, individually and doing business as THE INSTALLATION DEPARTMENT, a partnership; JEREMY PAUL, individually and doing business as QUICKDRAW CONSULTING; MONTE STOTT, P.E.; INDEMNITY COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA; AMERICAN CONTRACTORS INDEMNITY COMPANY;

Defendants.

Court No. 402263

JUDGMENT AFTER COURT TRIAL

Filed 08/29/2008

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

The above-entitled cause came on regularly for court trial on August 30, 2004, in Department 611 of the above-entitled court, the Honorable Diane Elan Wick, Judge presiding, a trial by jury having been waived by the parties. Michael Brooks Carroll, Esq., appeared as counsel for plaintiffs Michael Nadelman and Aminta Nadelman; James B. Kraus, Esq., and Andrew M. Zacks, Esq., appeared as counsel for defendant Jeremy Paul, individually and doing business as Quickdraw Permit Consulting.

Upon consideration of the evidence presented at trial, the written submissions by the parties, good cause appearing, and in accordance with its statement of decision, the court hereby renders judgment as follows:

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Judgment be entered in favor of plaintiff MICHAEL NADELMAN and plaintiff AMINTA NADELMAN, and against defendant JEREMY PAUL, individually and doing business as Quickdraw Permit Consulting, for actual damages in the amount of \$100,000.00.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Judgment be entered in favor of plaintiff MICHAEL NADELMAN and plaintiff AMINTA NADELMAN, and against defendant JEREMY PAUL, individually and doing business as Quickdraw Permit Consulting, for restitution in the amount of \$1,226.50.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Judgment be entered in favor of plaintiff MICHAEL NADELMAN and plaintiff AMINTA NADELMAN and against defendant JEREMY PAUL, individually and б

doing business as Quickdraw Permit Consulting, for punitive damages in the amount of \$109,049.00.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that plaintiff MICHAEL NADELMAN and plaintiff AMINTA NADELMAN as the prevailing parties in this action recover from defendant JEREMY PAUL, individually and doing business as Quickdraw Permit Consulting, costs and disbursements taxed in the amount of \$\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\frac{\pmu}{2}\

Dated: January 27, 2006

Diane Elan Wick

Judge of the Superior Court

JUDGMENT AFTER COURT TRIAL

1826

Superior Court of California

County of San Francisco

MICHAEL NADELMAN, et al.

Case Number: CGC-01-402263

Plaintiff(s)

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (CCP 1013a (4))

VS.

HI TECH DESIGNS, INC., et al.

Defendant(s)

I, Karissa Shaw, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On January 27, 2006, I served the attached; (1) STATEMENT OF DECISION, (2) JUDGMENT AFTER COURT TRIAL & (3) NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT by placing copies thereof in sealed envelopes, addressed as follows:

GREG J. GLASER, ESQ. LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL B. CARROLL 300 Montgomery Street, Suite 415 San Francisco, CA 94104

JAMES B. KRAUS, ESQ. ZACK UTRECHT & LEADBETTER, P.C. 235 Montgomery Street, Suite 1130 San Francisco, CA 94104

I then placed the sealed envelopes in the outgoing mail at 400 McAllister Street, San Francisco, CA. 94102 on the date indicated above for collection, attachment of required prepaid postage, and mailing on that date following standard court practices.

Dated: January 27, 2006

GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk

Karissa Shaw, Deputy Clerk